File Date: 9/21/2021 5:02 PM Rockingham Superior Court E-Filed Document

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

ROCKINGHAM, SS

SUPERIOR COURT

Docket No. 218-2020-CV-00673

BLYTHE BROWN, Plaintiff.

v.

DANIEL GERHARD BROWN, Defendant.

REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA

Mary Tenn, Esquire, by and through her attorneys, McLane Middleton, Professional Association, hereby replies in support of her motion to quash and states as follows:

- 1. Defendant Dan Brown has no legitimate basis to seek the deposition of his exwife's counsel. Defendant has crafted a fiction, presumably to justify his extraordinary request. To be sure, his attack on counsel's integrity, ethics and professionalism are vicious and offensive. That attack is unavailing.
- 2. The salient issue in this case is whether Defendant filed a false financial affidavit, and if he knew it. In this case, as in every divorce case, a financial affidavit is the affidavit of a party; it is the party who swears under oath to the veracity of the content of that affidavit.

 Defendant alone is the person who can testify to what *he* knew and when *he* knew it.
- 3. Defendant now claims that, in essence, neither he nor his experienced counsel bothered to read carefully his financial affidavit before he executed it. He points to his ex-wife's counsel for this failure and remarkably seeks her deposition supposedly intended to confirm his failings.

- 4. The documents that were indisputably exchanged by the parties in the underlying divorce, standing alone, show the illogic of the Defendant's position as follows:
 - a. Nearly six weeks before Defendant submitted his sworn Financial Affidavit, as of at least September 13, 2019, Defendant possessed Plaintiff's Financial Affidavit, which included the line item of "projects anticipated or in the works *per Dan*: None." (Ex. 2 to Mot. to Quash (Sept. 13, 2019, Email from D. Brown to S. Seward) (Emphasis added)).
 - b. On that date, Attorney Tenn sent Defendant's divorce attorney, Attorney Ross, a draft of *Plaintiff's* Financial Affidavit. Defendant himself received the documents, and emailed them directly to his financial advisor, writing "oops, here it is." (*Id.*).
 - c. Defendant's divorce attorney presumably then drafted and finalized *Defendant's separate* Financial Affidavit. This is evidenced by the fact that Plaintiff's and Defendant's Financial Affidavits are not identical, but instead include differences in formatting and in some of the language (not implicated here).
 - d. Defendant's divorce attorney not only reviewed and finalized *his* client's Financial Affidavit, but he even suggested redactions for the court-filed version—including redactions to the very misrepresentation at issue. (Ex. A, Oct. 24, 2019, Email from J. Ross to M. Tenn).
- 5. It is facially plain that Plaintiff's Attorney could not have misled either the Defendant's attorney or the Defendant who had the unfettered ability (and unmistakable legal obligation) to have reviewed and assured the accuracy of the Defendant's own individual financial affidavit. It is undisputed that Defendant had his own experienced counsel and was not represented by Attorney Tenn.
- 6. Defendant posits that: "At the heart of the current dispute is whether Ms. Tenn participated in a subterfuge, the objective of which was to see if Defendant would unwittingly sign a Financial Affidavit that he did not realize had been modified. The nature of the modification was such that the affidavit might later be considered 'false' if his near-term future earnings exceeded expectations." As noted, the documents identified above show this contention to be false.
- 7. Following Defendant's claim to its illogical end, is that Defendant would now have preferred to submit a financial affidavit that said nothing about ongoing projects.

Presumably, then the Defendant's financial affidavit would have been false by omission. In other words, if Defendant's affidavit is false, that is because he did not abide by his separate obligation to assure a full and frank disclosure of his assets and their value and has only himself to blame for those failures. As between he and his then wife, the Defendant was the one who would have known what projects he anticipated or what projects he had in the works.

- 8. Even a cursory review of Defendant's Objection to the Motion to Quash underscores that in addition to the irrelevant, burdensome and vexatious nature, he is seeking to invade his ex-wife's attorney and client privilege and work product. At Paragraph 12 of his motion, Defendant details certain areas of inquiry virtually all of which call for privileged testimony. For example, he queries whether Attorney Tenn "did, or did not, recognize that the reference to 'projects anticipated or in the works,' more appropriately belonged in the Permanent Stipulation than in the asset statement, but that she knew Mr. Ross would clearly pick up on it there." Paragraph 12 (c). In order to fully respond to this question necessarily invades attorney and client privilege and work product, which privileges are sacrosanct. Likewise, the question calls for one attorney to speculate as to the mindset of another. It also assumes that the Defendant's assertion that he had no further assets to disclose was false because if the financial affidavit were accurate there would be nothing to include in the Permanent Stipulation. If, on the other hand, there were "projects anticipated or in the works" those were omitted from Defendant's financial affidavit.
- 9. The Court has the discretion to protect against a party's effort to transform a dispute between litigants into a dispute between counsel. To allow Defendant's subpoena, would cause a sea change in domestic relations practice. A disgruntled post-divorce litigant, as here, could seek tactical advantage by attacking opposing counsel. Such conduct threatens to drive a

wedge between counsel and client, and imposes enormous cost in time and money on clients, counsel and the judicial system.

- 10. The personal nature of Defendant's invective against opposing counsel is troubling, and inexplicable when juxtaposed with his recent suggestion that, in essence, neither his lawyer nor he read carefully his financial affidavit before Defendant executed it under oath and swore to its veracity.
- 11. Finally, the Defendant argues that Attorney Tenn "irrevocably waived the right to seek" to quash the subpoena. This is incorrect. Defendant bases that argument on selective quotations from an email Attorney Tenn sent to Defendant's counsel agreeing to waive formal service of the subpoena. Defendant omits Attorney Tenn's express statement that she would accept service of the subpoena, "in order to expedite service and waive only the formalities of service; but, as you would expect do so without waiver as to any other rights, objections or defenses." There can be no credible claim of waiver.

WHEREFORE, Mary Tenn prays that this Honorable Court:

- A. Quash the Subpoena issued to her; and
- B. Grant such further relief as deemed just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

MARY E. TENN

By her Attorneys,

MCLANE MIDDLETON PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

Dated: September 21, 2021 By: ___/s/ Scott H. Harris_

Scott H. Harris, Esquire (NH Bar 6840)

900 Elm Street, P.O. Box 326

Manchester, New Hampshire 03105-0326

Telephone: (603) 625-6464 Email: scott.harris@mclane.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of September 2021, I served the foregoing Reply In Support Of Motion To Quash Subpoena by filing with the Court's electronic filing system and therefore serving on all parties of record.

/s/ Scott H. Harris Scott H. Harris, Esq.

EXHIBIT

Mary Tenn

From:

Jonathan Ross < jross@primmer.com>

Sent:

Thursday, October 24, 2019 2:48 PM

To:

Mary Tenn

Subject:

Brown Redaction

Attachments:

2019 10 24 Local_User 18745.pdf

Mary: See attached as a suggestion on redaction for financial affidavits. Jon

L. Jonathan Ross | Attorney at Law

PRIMMER PIPER EGGLESTON & CRAMER PC 900 Elm Street, 19th Floor, P.O. Box 3600, Manchester, NH 03105

Phone: 603 626 3300 Direct Dial: 603 626 3303 Direct Dial Portsmouth: 603 626 3343 | Fax: 603 626 0997

iross@primmer.com | www.primmer.com



THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) AND MAY CONTAIN LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. ANY UNAUTHORIZED REVIEW, USE, DISCLOSURE, REPRODUCTION OR DISTRIBUTION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE SENDER BY REPLY E-MAIL AND DESTROY ALL COPIES OF THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE. THANK YOU.

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH

http://www.courts.state.nh.us

Court Name:
Case Name:
In the Matter of Daniel Brown and Blythe Brown
(If known)

7th Circuit - Family Division - Dover
In the Matter of Daniel Brown and Blythe Brown
FINANCIAL AFFID

Case Number:	CALANOIAL	ACCIDANIT	Date	10/1/2019
General Information	TINANCIAL	. AFFIDAVIT 4. Monthly Income - Miscellaneous	Maid	10/112013
Name Daniel Brown		TANF and Food Stamps	\$	
Street Address		Other Public Assistance	\$	
CHOOLVCC1033		Children's Income	Š	
City,State,Zip		Child Support	\$	
Malling Address, if different		5, Monthly Income Before Taxes		
Oate of Birth		Base Pay from Salary, Wages	s	
Social Security Number		Overtime and Shift Differential	Š	
Highest Grade or Degree Completed Bachelors		Commissions,Tips, Bonuses	\$	
Date of Marriage 8/17/1997		Part-time Employment	Ś	
Date of Separation or Divorce		Self-employment	\$ 1	
2. Children born to, or adopted by, the Parties (Full Name	, DOB & SSN)	Unemployment and Veteran's Benefits	Š	
		Disability, Worker's Compensation	\$	
		Pension and Retirement Benefits	Š	
		Social Security Benefits (SSA)	\$	
		Interest and Dividends	Š	
		Trust and Other Investment Income	\$	
		Rental Income and Business Profits	\$	
2a Number of people currently living in household including	ng self 1	All other sources	\$	
3. Employment Information		Total Section 5 Monthly Income	\$	
Name, Address, Phone # of Employer self		6. Monthly Expenses		
		Court Ordered Support for Others	\$	
		State Income Taxes	\$	
Date and Place of Last Employment		Mandatory Pension	\$	
		Health Insurance for Parties' Children	\$	
		Day Care for Parties' Children	\$	
A COMPANY AND		50 % of actual self-employment taxes paid	\$	
Job Skills Writing		Total Section 6 Monthly Expenses	\$	

7. Assets Fair Market Value Related Debt Additional Information

Homestead SEE ASSET SUMMARY ATTACHED

Other Real Estate

Primary Motor Vehicle

Other Motor Vehicles

Fumiliure and Appliances

Checking Accounts
Investments

Life Insurance

8, Additional Assets - If you have an interest in any property which is held solely by or jointly with any other person or entity, and which has not already been disclosed, or if you are owed money from any source, please explain.

NHJB-2085-F (08/01/2015)

Business Interests Pensions

Retirement Accounts

Page 1 of 5

Case Name:	to the Matter of Daniel Brown and Blyt	ie Brown		
Case Number:	632-2019-DM		Date	10/1/2019
FINANCIAL AFFIDA 9. Tax Return Informa		11. Debis		
Year of last return filed Single or joint return			Vho owas dabt i	0.00
My Total W-2's and 10				0,00 0.00
if Self-Employed, of most recent IRS S	check here and attach copy of ichedule C			0.00 0.00
10. Insurance "	•			0.00 0.00
#2	***			0.00
Company Type and Face Amoun	~~ ~. 1∮	12. Retirement Plans		
Beneficiaries	♣ •			
Health		Plan or Account Name Type		
Company		Most Recent Value		
		Value at Filing 0.00		
Type Description of Coverage	na .	If Defined Benefit , status of vest	ling and descrip	tion of
Description of Coveraç	,•	Benefit		
Dental				
Company		13. Atlachments: [_] Payst	tub, 📋 Month	nly Expenses,
Description of Coverage	ge	☐ Schedule C, ☐ Other (de	scribe)	
		☑ Check here If parties agree I	to waive Monthl	y Expenses form
14. Additional Informa	itlon	_ , ,		
B. I have reasonably at C. I understand that I D. I understand that I i immediately provide contempt of court at E. Rule 1.25-A Composition of the Lagrangian of the Composition of the Lagrangian of the Composition of the Lagrangian of the Lagrang	is light of gray and spread and signed person with any alterior of sisted in section of the sect	set; and sided in this financial affidavit for e gating me to pay support, it shall the writing. If I fail to do so, I may be a USO Standing Order SO-4 decided and the second of the se	each court hear be my responsible held in default, G.) have not fully court the statements of her known	ing; and illity to found in : omplied with
10 - Date NHJB-2065-F (08/01/201	-2-2019 5) Pr	Signature Signature	50	

and the second s				aa	
c. Accounts	1				
	1				
Owner:					
Account #'s ending	1				
As of July 8, 2018	l .				
			announce of the second		garanta de la constitución de la
c. Accounts					:
Owner: Dan					
Account #'s ending:					
Services As of October 2018					
Joint Account		22 CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY			
Owner					
As of October 2018					
Transferred from Joint Account			1		
		-			
	j ì				
As of October 2018	1				
			Ances		gangaggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg
Total Financial Accounts	<u> </u>				
Notes Receivable		Americano de la composición del composición de la composición de la composición del composición de la		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Note Receivable					
	1				
	1				
principal de la companya de la compa	k 1				ļi.
(principle sum) & interest	1				
Note Receivable		dillina A			
Trace tracerosic					
Serie Marie					
Payable to	<u> </u>				
Total Notes Receivable	<u> </u>			hand of the second of the seco	Contractions, a resolution Co.
Retirement Assets	·				
B					
The same of the sa					
		``			
1 Account					
n Account					
As of July 8, 2018	5				
As of July 8, 2018					
As of July 8, 2018					
As of July 8, 2018 As Account #:					
As of July 8, 2018 Account #: As of July 8, 2018					
As of July 8, 2018 Account #: As of July 8, 2018					
As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 Total Financial/Investment/Retirement					
As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 Total Financial/Investment/Retirement LLC's and Realty Trusts		See above under			
As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 Total Financial/Investment/Retirement LLC's and Realty Trusts	1				
As of July 8, 2018 Account #: As of July 8, 2018 Total Financial/investment/Retirement LLC's and Realty Trusts 11 acounts	1	See above under			
As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 As of July 8, 2018 Total Financial/Investment/Retirement LLC's and Realty Trusts	1				

				Control of the contro
accounts		See above under		
		real estate		
Owner:				
	ļ			
As of July 8, 2018		See above under		
		l		
accounts		real estate		
Owner:		}		
As of July 8, 2018				
accounts		See above under		
Owner:		real estate		
As of July 8, 2018			Andrew Street Control of the Assessment	
	not valued	1		
Owner	•			
As of				
	See above under		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,
Owner Maria	real estate	1		!
Owner:]	PARACON	
As of July 8, 2018	See above under			
			į	
	real estate	1		
Owner:	ł	1	Transport Control of C	
As of July 18, 2018			<u></u>	- Control of the Cont
	See above under			
	real estate			
Owner			1	
As of July 8, 2018			<u> </u>	- Appelliance -
Motor Vehicles				
Volvo			•	
Owner:				
Jeep an			•	
Owner:		ŀ		
Dodg		4		
Owner:				
Dodge				
1 10			T	
Owner:				
Trailer				
no de la constante de la const	1		1	
Owner:				
*Estimated	<u> </u>			
Carriages	1		T	
Owner:	800	1	B000	
*Estimated	1	-		
Carrlage			H	
		_		
Owner:	ŀ			
*Estimated				
Tessla (Line)				
Owner:				
Jeep			,	
Owner:	1	all the state of t		
Dodge		*		
Owner:				20 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Total Motor Vehicles			Ll	

In the Matter of Daniel Brown and Blythe Brown Case No.: 632-2019-DM-

ATTACHMENTS TO FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT OF DANIEL BROWN

The parties have divided their assets based on values as of July 2018 and have divided those assets on an equal basis of agreed values.

Real Estate:	I				
Was extere.				<u></u>	
As of July 8, 2018	1				
*Estimated Value Per Dan					
2			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Owner					
As of July 8, 2018			:		
*Estimate Value per Dan			*		
175					
A 4 4 1 1 - C 1 -					
As of July 8, 2018 (based on purchaes price)	 -		······································	atentini di	
Owner					
As of July 8, 2018 (based on purchaes price)					
As of July 8, 2018 (dased on purchaes price)	<u> </u>			annandi. Anna aquananqua <u>qqa qoqqaqayaqqa</u> anqaananananiiiii	
Owner:					
As of July 8, 2018 (based on purchaes price)		1			
As or sary 6, 2028 (based on parcials price)	-			annananananananananananananananananana	

Owner:]				
As of July 8, 2018 (based on purchaes price)					
		(grania de la companya della companya della companya de la companya de la companya della companya		negenepegegenene vereikättättätätätätätätätätätätätä
Owner:					
As of July 8, 2018					
*Estimated Value					
	444		,		
- 100					
Owner:					
As of July 8, 2018					
*Estimated Value per Dan					
Total Real Estate *Estimated	\$10,070,204	\$9,980,090			30000000000000000000000000000000000000
Financial Accounts					
Bank Control				•	
8					
Owner					
As of July 8, 2018					

Other						
and	E. decisions					
, and the second			1			
William Company		glainiated typenamenamen in historican companies and		Manufacture of the Control of the Co		
count/		-		www.comeson.orghidaddilliaddil		
Equipment *		some included above				
Projects anticipated or in the works	None					